AMENDMENT TO THE RULES COMMITTEE PRINT
FOR H.R. 5303
OFFERED BY MR. SHUSTER OF PENNSYLVANIA

Page 7, strike lines 1 through 8.

Page 11, line 14, strike “and” at the end.

Page 11, line 16, strike the period at the end and insert “; and”.

Page 11, after line 16, insert the following:

(7) reducing the costs of dredging and dredged material placement or disposal, such as projects that use dredged material for—

(A) construction or fill material;

(B) civic improvement objectives; and

(C) other innovative uses and placement alternatives that produce public economic or environmental benefits.

Page 69, after line 17, insert the following:

SEC. ___. COST SHARE REQUIREMENT.

The Secretary shall carry out the project for ecosystem restoration and recreation, Los Angeles River, California, as authorized by this Act, substantially in ac-
cordance with the terms and conditions described in the Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated December 18, 2015, including, notwithstanding section 2008(c) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1074), the recommended cost sharing.

SEC. 6. PUBLIC ACCESS.

(a) RECREATIONAL ACCESS PERMITTED.—The Board of Directors of the Tennessee Valley Authority may approve and allow the construction and use of a floating cabin on waters under the jurisdiction of the Tennessee Valley Authority if—

(1) the floating cabin is maintained by the owner to reasonable health, safety, and environmental standards, as required by the Board of Directors; and

(2) the Tennessee Valley Authority has authorized the use of recreational vessels on such waters.

(b) FEES.—The Board of Directors may levy fees on the owner of a floating cabin on waters under the jurisdiction of the Tennessee Valley Authority for purposes of ensuring compliance with subsection (a), so long as such fees are necessary and reasonable for such purposes.

(c) CONTINUED RECREATIONAL USE.—With respect to a floating cabin located on waters under the jurisdiction
of the Tennessee Valley Authority on the date of enactment of this Act, the Board of Directors—

(1) may not require the removal of such floating cabin—

(A) in the case of a floating cabin that was granted a permit by the Tennessee Valley Authority before the date of enactment of this Act, for a period of 15 years beginning on such date; and

(B) in the case of a floating cabin not granted a permit by the Tennessee Valley Authority before the date of enactment of this Act, for a period of 5 years beginning on such date; and

(2) shall approve and allow the use of the floating cabin on waters under the jurisdiction of the Tennessee Valley Authority at such time, and for such duration, as the floating cabin meets the requirements of subsection (a) and the owner of such cabin has paid any fee levied pursuant to subsection (b).

(d) NEW CONSTRUCTION.—The Tennessee Valley Authority may establish regulations to prevent the construction of new floating cabins.
(e) FLOATING CABIN DEFINED.—In this section, the term “floating cabin” means every description of watercraft or other floating structure primarily designed and used for human habitation or occupation and not primarily designed or used for navigation or transportation on water.

(f) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section restricts the ability of the Tennessee Valley Authority to enforce reasonable health, safety, or environmental standards.

SEC. ___. TRIBAL DISPLACEMENT.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study related to any remaining Federal obligations to Indian people displaced by the construction of the Bonneville Dam, the Dalles Dam, or the John Day Dam on the Columbia River in Oregon and Washington.

(b) FACTORS.—The study shall include—

(1) a determination as to the number and location of Indian people displaced by the construction of the Bonneville Dam, the Dalles Dam, or the John Day Dam;

(2) a determination of the amounts and types of assistance provided by the Federal Government to
Indian people displaced by the construction of such
dams to the present; and

(3) a determination of whether and how much
assistance is necessary to meet any remaining Fed-
eral obligations to compensate Indian people dis-
placed by the construction of such dams.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the date
of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall
submit to Congress a report on the results of the study.

SEC. ___. DROUGHT EMERGENCIES.

(a) AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.—With respect to a
State in which a drought emergency is in effect on the
date of enactment of this Act, or was in effect at any time
during the 1-year period ending on such date of enact-
ment, and upon the request of the Governor of the State,
the Secretary is authorized to—

(1) prioritize the updating of the water control
manuals for control structures under the jurisdiction
of the Secretary that are located in the State; and

(2) incorporate into the update seasonal oper-
ations for water conservation and water supply for
such control structures.

(b) COORDINATION.—The Secretary shall carry out
the update under subsection (a) in coordination with all
appropriate Federal agencies, elected officials, and members of the public.

SEC. ___. GAO STUDY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives an analysis of the President’s budget requests for the Corps of Engineers Civil Works Program for each of fiscal years 2008 through 2017.

(b) CONSIDERATIONS.—The analysis to be submitted under subsection (a) shall evaluate—

(1) the extent to which there is geographic diversity among the projects included in such budget requests; and

(2) whether the methodologies used by the Corps of Engineers to calculate benefit-cost ratios for projects impact the geographic diversity of projects included in such budget requests.
(1) Project for flood damage reduction and environmental restoration, Hamilton City, California.

Page 75, line 23, strike “$5,000,000,000” and insert “$10,000,000,000”.

Page 78, line 17, strike “$5,000,000,000” and insert “$10,000,000,000”.

Page 92, after line 25, insert the following:

(c) INVENTORY.—In carrying out the update under subsection (a), the Secretary shall include an inventory of those lands that are not necessary to carry out the authorized purposes of the project.


Page 106, strike line 6 and all that follows before line 7 and insert the following:

(1) NAVIGATION.—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. State</th>
<th>B. Name</th>
<th>C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers</th>
<th>D. Estimated Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>Brazos Island Harbor</td>
<td>Nov. 3, 2014</td>
<td>Federal: $116,116,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Federal: $88,471,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total: $204,587,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. State | B. Name | C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers | D. Estimated Costs
--- | --- | --- | ---
2. LA | Calcasieu Lock | Dec. 2, 2014 | Total: $16,700,000 (to be derived 1/2 from the general fund of the Treasury and 1/2 from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund)
3. NH, ME | Portsmouth Harbor and Piscataqua River | Feb. 8, 2015 | Federal: $15,580,000
Non-Federal: $5,190,000
Total: $20,770,000
4. FL | Port Everglades | Jun. 25, 2015 | Federal: $220,200,000
Non-Federal: $102,500,000
Total: $322,700,000
5. AK | Little Diomede Harbor | Aug. 10, 2015 | Federal: $26,015,000
Non-Federal: $2,945,000
Total: $28,960,000
6. SC | Charleston Harbor | Sep. 8, 2015 | Federal: $224,300,000
Non-Federal: $269,000,000
Total: $493,300,000
7. AK | Craig Harbor | Mar. 16, 2016 | Federal: $29,062,000
Non-Federal: $3,255,000
Total: $32,317,000
8. PA | Upper Ohio | Sep. 12, 2016 | Federal: $1,324,235,500
Non-Federal: $1,324,235,500
Total: $2,648,471,000

Page 109, strike line 1 and all that follows before line 2 and insert the following:

(4) Ecosystem Restoration.—

A. State | B. Name | C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers | D. Estimated Costs
--- | --- | --- | ---
1. FL | Central Everglades | Dec. 23, 2014 | Federal: $976,375,000
Non-Federal: $974,625,000
Total: $1,951,000,000
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. State</th>
<th>B. Name</th>
<th>C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers</th>
<th>D. Estimated Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. WA</td>
<td>Skokomish River</td>
<td>Dec. 14, 2015</td>
<td>Federal: $12,782,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Federal: $6,882,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total: $19,664,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. WA</td>
<td>Puget Sound</td>
<td>Sept. 16, 2016</td>
<td>Federal: $293,558,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Federal: $158,069,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total: $451,627,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 110, before line 3, insert the following:

(8) Hurricane and storm damage risk reduction and ecosystem restoration.—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. State</th>
<th>B. Name</th>
<th>C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers</th>
<th>D. Estimated Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. LA</td>
<td>Southwest Coastal Louisiana</td>
<td>Jul. 29, 2016</td>
<td>Federal: $2,011,280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Federal: $1,082,997,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total: $3,094,277,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 110, strike line 3 and all that follows through the end of the table following line 4 and insert the following:

(9) Deauthorizations, modifications, and other projects.—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. State</th>
<th>B. Name</th>
<th>C. Date of Decision Document</th>
<th>D. Estimated Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. TX</td>
<td>Upper Trinity River</td>
<td>May 21, 2008</td>
<td>Federal: $526,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Federal: $283,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total: $810,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. State</td>
<td>B. Name</td>
<td>C. Date of Decision Document</td>
<td>D. Estimated Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| KY       | Green River Locks and Dams 3, 4, 5, 6 and Barren River Lock and Dam 1 Disposition | Apr. 30, 2015 | Federal: $0  
Non-Federal: $0  
Total: $0 |
| KS, MO   | Turkey Creek Basin | May 13, 2016 | Federal: $97,067,750  
Non-Federal: $55,465,250  
Total: $152,533,000 |
| KY       | Ohio River Shoreline | May 13, 2016 | Federal: $20,309,900  
Non-Federal: $10,936,100  
Total: $31,246,000 |
| MO       | Blue River Basin | May 13, 2016 | Federal: $34,860,000  
Non-Federal: $11,620,000  
Total: $46,480,000 |
| FL       | Picayune Strand | Jul. 15, 2016 | Federal: $308,983,500  
Non-Federal: $308,983,500  
Total: $617,967,000 |
| MO       | Swope Park Industrial Area, Blue River | Jul. 15, 2016 | Federal: $20,205,250  
Non-Federal: $10,879,750  
Total: $31,085,000 |