## AMENDMENT TO THE RULES COMMITTEE PRINT OF H.R. 1960

## OFFERED BY MR. QUIGLEY OF ILLINOIS

At the end of subtitle F of title X (page 425, after line 23), add the following new section:

1	SEC. 1060. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON REMOVAL OF LIMITA-
2	TION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RE-
3	DUCTION OF NUCLEAR FORCES.
4	(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following find-
5	ings:
6	(1) The United States spends approximately
7	\$31 billion annually on a nuclear arsenal of approxi-
8	mately 1,700 deployed strategic weapons and deliv-
9	ery systems and to maintain non-deployed and non-
10	strategic warheads, totaling approximately 5,000
11	weapons.
12	(2) The New START Treaty requires Russia
13	and the United States to reduce their stockpiles to
14	a maximum of 1,550 nuclear weapons by 2018.
15	(3) China, the only potential United States ad-
16	versary, has no more than 50 to 75 single-warhead
17	intercontinental ballistic missiles, according to the
18	Pentagon.

1	(4) According to nuclear security and non-pro-
2	liferation specialist James Doyle: "Given the gen-
3	erally positive nature of the U.SRussian relation-
4	ship, the continued competitive mutual nuclear en-
5	tanglement hinders the development of truly normal-
6	ized relations".
7	(5) General James E. Cartwright, retired Vice
8	Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and former
9	commander of the United States' nuclear forces;
10	Richard Burt, a former chief nuclear arms nego-
11	tiator; Chuck Hagel, the current Secretary of De-
12	fense; Thomas Pickering, a former ambassador to
13	Russia; and General John J. Sheehan, a former sen-
14	ior NATO official, all issued a report noting that the
15	United States' nuclear deterrence could be guaran-
16	teed with 900 nuclear warheads.
17	(6) According to General Cartwright: "The
18	world has changed, but the current arsenal carries
19	the baggage of the cold war What is it we're
20	really trying to deter? Our current arsenal does not
21	address the threats of the 21st century".
22	(7) Former Secretaries of State George Shultz
23	and Henry Kissinger, former Secretary of Defense
24	Bill Perry, and former Senator Sam Nunn have
25	called for the United States to reduce its nuclear ar-

1	senal below the New START Treaty cap of 1,550
2	strategic warheads and 700 delivery systems.
3	(8) Reducing the United States nuclear stock-
4	pile would save tens of billions of dollars at a time
5	when policymakers are working to reduce the Fed-
6	eral debt.
7	(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Con-
8	gress that—
9	(1) the Secretary of Defense should submit to
10	the congressional defense committees and to the
11	Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Rep-
12	resentatives and the Committee on Foreign Rela-
13	tions of the Senate the plan required by section
14	1042(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act
15	for Fiscal Year 2012 as expeditiously as possible;
16	and
17	(2) Congress should not limit funds authorized
18	to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made
19	available for fiscal year 2014 for the Department of
20	Defense or the National Nuclear Security Adminis-
21	tration to carry out reduction to the nuclear forces
22	of the United States required by the New START
23	Treaty pending the receipt of the plan required by
24	such section 1042(a).

- 1 (c) New START Treaty Defined.—In this sec-
- 2 tion, the term "New START Treaty" means the Treaty
- 3 between the United States of America and the Russian
- 4 Federation on Measures for the Further Reduction and
- 5 Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed on April
- 6 8, 2010, and entered into force on February 5, 2011.

