AMENDMENT TO RULES COMMITTEE PRINT 117– 54

OFFERED BY MR. KHANNA OF CALIFORNIA

Page 971, strike lines 1 through 8, and insert the following new subparagraphs (and redesignate the subsequent subparagraph accordingly):

1	(F) An identification of any challenges in
2	establishing an integrated air and missile de-
3	fense architecture with specified foreign part-
4	ners, including assessments of—
5	(i) the capacity of specified foreign
6	partners to—
7	(I) rapidly share and respond to
8	intelligence on ballistic and cruise
9	missiles, manned and unmanned aer-
10	ial systems, and rocket attacks from
11	Iran, and their ability to develop such
12	capacity independent of direct United
13	States support and oversight;
14	(II) independently operate key
15	technical components of such an ar-
16	chitecture, including satellite sensors,

2

1	ground- or sea-based radars, and
2	interceptors; and
3	(III) operate command and con-
4	trol centers directing the operation of
5	such an architecture; and
6	(ii) the efficacy and vulnerabilities of
7	a possible integrated regional missile de-
8	fense system in countering modern ballistic
9	and cruise missiles.
10	(G) An assessment of the overall cost to
11	the United States for providing support for the
12	establishment and sustainment of such an ar-
13	chitecture over 5, 10, and 20-year periods.
14	(H) A description of relevant coordination
15	with the Secretary of State and the ways in
16	which such an architecture advances United
17	States regional diplomatic goals and objectives,
18	including assessments of—
19	(i) the impacts of such coordination
20	on—
21	(I) diplomatic efforts to prevent
22	Iran from obtaining a nuclear weapon;
23	(II) diplomatic discussions be-
24	tween Saudi Arabia and Iran; and

(ii) the impacts of United States in vestments of funds, materiel, and per sonnel into such an architecture on a
broader strategic pivot to the Asia-Pacific
region.

\times