

**AMENDMENT TO RULES COMMITTEE PRINT 116-**

**19**

**OFFERED BY MS. JAYAPAL OF WASHINGTON**

At the end of subtitle H of title X, add the following new section:

1 **SEC. 10\_\_ . INDEPENDENT STUDIES REGARDING POTEN-**  
2 **TIAL COST SAVINGS WITH RESPECT TO THE**  
3 **NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE AND**  
4 **FORCE STRUCTURE.**

5 (a) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.—

6 (1) REQUIREMENT.—The Comptroller General  
7 of the United States shall submit to the congress-  
8 sional defense committees a report containing cost  
9 analyses with respect to each of the following:

10 (A) Options for cutting the nuclear secu-  
11 rity enterprise (as defined by section 4002 of  
12 the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C.  
13 2501)).

14 (B) Options for reductions in service con-  
15 tracts.

16 (C) Options for rebalancing force struc-  
17 ture, including reductions in special operations  
18 forces and their ancillary effects and the im-

1           pacts of changing the force mix between actives  
2           and reserves.

3           (D) Options for scaling back overseas mili-  
4           tary bases.

5           (E) Options for reducing overhead costs in  
6           operations and support.

7           (F) How the Department of Defense can  
8           use pre-award audits to negotiate better prices  
9           for weapon systems and services.

10          (2) FORM.—The report under paragraph (1)  
11          shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may  
12          contain a classified annex with respect to the mat-  
13          ters specified in subparagraphs (A) and (C) of such  
14          paragraph.

15          (b) FFRDC STUDIES.—

16           (1) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Defense  
17           shall seek to enter into agreements with federally  
18           funded research and development centers to conduct  
19           the following studies:

20           (A) A study of the cost savings to changes  
21           in force structure, active and reserve balance,  
22           basing, and other impacts of challenging  
23           foundational planning assumptions.

1           (B) A study of the cost savings to alter-  
2           natives to the current nuclear deterrence pos-  
3           ture of the United States.

4           (C) A study of the cost savings of alter-  
5           natives to current force structures.

6           (2) **DETAIL REQUIRED.**—The Secretary shall  
7           ensure that each study under paragraph (1) has a  
8           level of detail sufficient for the Director of the Con-  
9           gressional Budget Office to analyze the costs de-  
10          scribed in such studies.

11          (3) **SUBMISSION.**—The Secretary shall submit  
12          to the congressional defense committees each study  
13          under paragraph (1).

14          (4) **FORM.**—The studies under paragraph (1),  
15          and the report under paragraph (3), shall be sub-  
16          mitted in unclassified form, but may contain a clas-  
17          sified annex with respect to the matters specified in  
18          paragraph (1)(A).

19          (c) **INDEPENDENT STUDY.**—

20          (1) **REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary shall seek  
21          to enter into an agreement with a nongovernmental  
22          think tank to conduct a study on possible alter-  
23          natives to the current defense and deterrence pos-  
24          ture of the United States, and the impact of such

1       postures on planning assumptions, basing, and force  
2       structure requirements.

3               (2) SUBMISSION.—The Secretary shall submit  
4       to the congressional defense committees the study  
5       under paragraph (1).

6               (d) CBO EVALUATIONS.—The Director of the Con-  
7       gressional Budget Office shall submit to the congressional  
8       defense committees a report evaluating the costs to carry  
9       out the matters contained in the reports and studies under  
10      subsections (a), (b), and (c).

