AMENDMENT TO RULES COMM. PRINT 119-8 OFFERED BY MR. HIGGINS OF LOUISIANA

Add at the end of subtitle A of title XVII of division A the following:

1	SEC. 1703. PILOT PROJECTS ALLOWING ADDITIONAL TECH-
2	NOLOGY PROVIDERS TO PARTICIPATE IN IN-
3	SPECTING CARS, TRUCKS, AND CARGO CON-
4	TAINERS AT CERTAIN PORTS OF ENTRY.
5	(a) Establishment.—
6	(1) In general.—Not later than one year
7	after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
8	retary of Homeland Security, acting through the
9	CBP Innovation Team, and in coordination with the
10	Office of Field Operations and the Department of
11	Homeland Security Science and Technology Direc-
12	torate, shall begin the implementation of pilot
13	projects for testing and assessing the use of tech-
14	nologies or technology enhancements to improve the
15	process for inspecting, including by increasing effi-
16	ciencies of such inspections, any conveyance or mode
17	of transportation at land ports of entry along the
18	borders of the United States. The technologies or
19	technology enhancements tested and assessed under

1	the pilot projects shall be for the purpose of assist-
2	ing U.S. Customs and Border Protection personnel
3	to detect contraband, illegal drugs, illegal weapons,
4	human smuggling, and threats on inbound and out-
5	bound traffic, in conjunction with the use of imaging
6	equipment, radiation portal monitors, and chemical
7	detectors.
8	(2) Requirements.—
9	(A) IN GENERAL.—In implementing the
10	pilot projects at ports of entry, the CBP Inno-
11	vation Team, in coordination with the Depart-
12	ment of Homeland Security Science and Tech-
13	nology Directorate, shall test and collect data
14	regarding not fewer than five types of nonintru-
15	sive inspection technology enhancements that
16	can be deployed at land ports of entry. The
17	CBP Innovation Team shall test technology en-
18	hancements from not fewer than one of the fol-
19	lowing categories:
20	(i) Artificial intelligence.
21	(ii) Machine learning.
22	(iii) High-performance computing.
23	(iv) Quantum information sciences, in-
24	cluding quantum sensing.
25	(v) Other emerging technologies.

1	(B) Identification of effective en-
2	HANCEMENTS.—The pilot projects shall identify
3	the most effective types of technology enhance-
4	ments to improve the capabilities of nonintru-
5	sive inspection systems and other inspection
6	systems used at land ports of entry based on—
7	(i) the technology enhancement's abil-
8	ity to assist U.S. Customs and Border
9	Protection accurately detect contraband, il-
10	legal drugs, illegal weapons, human smug-
11	gling, or threats in inbound and outbound
12	traffie;
13	(ii) the technology enhancement's abil-
14	ity to increase efficiencies of inspections to
15	assist U.S. Customs and Border Protection
16	address long wait times;
17	(iii) the technology enhancement's
18	ability to improve capabilities of aging de-
19	tection equipment and infrastructure at
20	land ports of entry;
21	(iv) the technology enhancement's
22	safety relative to As Low As Reasonably
23	Achievable (ALARA) standard practices;

1	(v) the ability to integrate the new
2	technology into the existing workflow and
3	infrastructure;
4	(vi) the technology enhancement's
5	ability to incorporate automatic threat rec-
6	ognition technology using standard formats
7	and open architecture;
8	(vii) the mobility of technology en-
9	hancements; and
10	(viii) other performance measures
11	identified by the CBP Innovation Team.
12	(C) PRIVATE SECTOR INVOLVEMENT.—The
13	CBP Innovation Team may solicit input from
14	representatives of the private sector regarding
15	commercially viable technologies.
16	(D) Cost effectiveness require-
17	MENT.—In identifying the most effective types
18	of technology enhancements under subpara-
19	graph (B), the pilot projects shall prioritize so-
20	lutions that demonstrate the highest cost-effec-
21	tiveness in achievement the objectives described
22	in clauses (i) through (viii) of subparagraph
23	(B). Cost effectiveness shall account for im-
24	proved detection capabilities, increased inspec-
25	tion efficiencies, reduced wait times, and total

1	cost of implementation (including infrastructure
2	upgrades and maintenance expenses).
3	(3) Nonintrusive inspection systems pro-
4	GRAM.—The CBP Innovation Team shall work with
5	existing nonintrusive inspection systems programs
6	within U.S. Customs and Border Protection when
7	planning and developing the pilot projects required
8	under paragraph (1).
9	(4) Data Privacy Protections.—In imple-
10	menting the pilot projects and utilizing new tech-
11	nologies, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall
12	safeguard the privacy and security of personal data
13	collected during inspections through appropriate
14	measures, including—
15	(A) adherence to relevant privacy laws and
16	regulations;
17	(B) implementation of data anonymization
18	techniques, if applicable; and
19	(C) regular audits to assess compliance
20	with data privacy standards.
21	(5) Science and technology direc-
22	TORATE.—The CBP Innovation Team shall work
23	with the Department of Homeland Security Science
24	and Technology Directorate to align existing non-
25	intrusive inspection research and development efforts

1	within the Science and Technology Directorate when
2	planning and developing pilot projects required
3	under paragraph (1).
4	(b) TERMINATION.—The pilot projects shall termi-
5	nate on the date that is five years after the date of the
6	enactment of this Act.
7	(c) Reports Required.—Not later than three years
8	after the date of the enactment of this Act, and 180 days
9	after the termination of the pilot projects pursuant to sub-
10	section (b), the Secretary of Homeland Security shall sub-
11	mit to the appropriate congressional committees a report
12	that contains—
13	(1) an analysis of the effectiveness of tech-
14	nology enhancements tested based on the require-
15	ments described in subsection (a)(2);
16	(2) any recommendations from the testing and
17	analysis concerning the ability to utilize such tech-
18	nologies at all land ports of entry;
19	(3) a plan to utilize new technologies that meet
20	the performance goals of the pilot projects across all
21	U.S. Customs and Border Protection land ports of
22	entry at the border, including total costs and a
23	breakdown of the costs of such plan, including any
24	infrastructure improvements that may be required to

1	accommodate recommended technology enhance-
2	ments;
3	(4) a comprehensive list of existing technologies
4	owned and utilized by U.S. Customs and Border
5	protection for cargo and vehicle inspection, includ-
6	ing—
7	(A) details on the implementation status of
8	such technologies, such as whether the tech-
9	nologies have been fully installed and utilized,
10	or whether there are challenges with the instal-
11	lation and utilization of the technology;
12	(B) an evaluation of the compatibility,
13	interoperability, and scalability of existing cargo
14	and vehicle inspection technologies within U.S.
15	Customs and Border Protection's physical and
16	information technology infrastructure; and
17	(C) identification of any obstacles to the
18	effective deployment and integration of such
19	technologies; and
20	(5) the analysis described in subsection (d).
21	(d) Areas of Analysis.—The report required under
22	subsection (c) shall include an analysis containing—
23	(1) quantitative measurements of performance
24	based on the requirements described in subsection
25	(a)(2) of each technology tested compared with the

1	status quo to reveal a broad picture of the perform-
2	ance of technologies and technology enhancements,
3	such as—
4	(A) the probability of detection, false alarm
5	rate, and throughput; and
6	(B) an analysis determining whether such
7	observed performance represents a significant
8	increase, decrease, or no change compared with
9	current systems;
10	(2) an assessment of the relative merits of each
11	such technology;
12	(3) any descriptive trends and patterns ob-
13	served; and
14	(4) performance measures for—
15	(A) the technology enhancement's ability to
16	assist with the detection of contraband on in-
17	
17	bound and outbound traffic through automated
18	bound and outbound traffic through automated (primary) inspection by measuring and report-
18	(primary) inspection by measuring and report-
18 19	(primary) inspection by measuring and report- ing the probability of detection and false alarm
18 19 20	(primary) inspection by measuring and reporting the probability of detection and false alarm rate for each NII system under operational con-
18 19 20 21	(primary) inspection by measuring and reporting the probability of detection and false alarm rate for each NII system under operational conditions;
18 19 20 21 22	(primary) inspection by measuring and reporting the probability of detection and false alarm rate for each NII system under operational conditions; (B) the throughput of cargo through each

1	(i) to complete the image review proc-
2	ess and clear low-risk shipments; and
3	(ii) to complete additional inspections
4	of high-risk items;
5	(C) changes in U.S. Customs and Border
6	Protection officer time commitments and per-
7	sonnel needs to sustain high volume NII scan-
8	ning operations when technology enhancements
9	are utilized; and
10	(D) operational costs, including—
11	(i) estimated implementation costs for
12	each NII system with technology enhance-
13	ments; and
14	(ii) estimated cost savings due to im-
15	proved efficiency due to technology en-
16	hancements, if applicable.
17	(e) Privacy and Civil Liberties Reports.—The
18	Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the
19	CBP Innovation Team and other appropriate offices,
20	shall—
21	(1) prior to the implementation of these tech-
22	nologies, submit—
23	(A) to the appropriate congressional com-
24	mittees a report or reports regarding the poten-
25	tial privacy, civil liberties, and civil rights im-

1	pacts of technologies being tested under the
2	pilot projects pursuant to this section, including
3	an analysis of the impacts of the technology en-
4	hancements on individuals crossing the United
5	States border; and
6	(B) recommendations for mitigation meas-
7	ures to address any identified impacts; and
8	(2) not later than 180 days after the termi-
9	nation of the pilot projects pursuant to subsection
10	(b), submit a report to the appropriate congressional
11	committees containing—
12	(A) findings on the impacts to privacy
13	civil rights, and civil liberties resulting from the
14	pilot projects;
15	(B) recommendations for mitigating these
16	impacts in implementation of approved tech-
17	nologies; and
18	(C) any additional recommendations based
19	on the lessons learned from the pilot projects.
20	(f) Prohibition on New Appropriations.—No
21	additional funds are authorized to be appropriated to
22	carry out this section.
23	(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1	(1) Appropriate congressional commit-
2	TEES.—The term "appropriate congressional com-
3	mittees" means—
4	(A) the Committee on Homeland Security
5	and Governmental Affairs of the Senate; and
6	(B) the Committee on Homeland Security
7	of the House of Representatives.
8	(2) ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE; AI.—The terms
9	"artificial intelligence" and "AI" have the meaning
10	given the term "artificial intelligence" in section
11	238(g) of the John S. McCain National Defense Au-
12	thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019 (Public Law
13	115–232; 10 U.S.C. 4061 note).
14	(3) CBP INNOVATION TEAM.—The term "CBP
15	Innovation Team" means the U.S. Customs and
16	Border Protection Innovation Team within the Of-
17	fice of the Commissioner.
18	(4) Nonintrusive inspection technology;
19	NII TECHNOLOGY.—The terms "nonintrusive inspec-
20	tion technology" and "NII technology" means tech-
21	nical equipment and machines, such as X-ray or
22	gamma-ray imaging equipment, that allow cargo in-
23	spections without the need to open the means of
24	transport and unload the cargo.

1	(5) PILOT PROJECTS.—The term "pilot
2	projects" means the projects required under sub-
3	section (a) for testing and assessing the use of tech-
4	nologies to improve the inspection process at land
5	ports of entry.

