# Amendment to Rules Comm. Print 117–54 Offered by Mr. Buck of Colorado

At the end of division E, add the following:

# TITLE LIX—MULTILATERAL AID REVIEW ACT OF 2022

### 3 SEC. 5901. SHORT TITLE.

4 This title may be cited as the "Multilateral Aid Re-5 view Act of 2022".

## 6 SEC. 5902. PURPOSE.

7 The purpose of this title is to establish a United
8 States Multilateral Aid Review (in this title referred to as
9 the "Review") to publicly assess the value of United States
10 Government investments in multilateral entities.

11 SEC. 5903. APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES
12 DEFINED.

13 In this title, the term "appropriate congressional14 committees" means—

(1) the Committee on Foreign Relations and
the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and
(2) the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the
Committee on Financial Services, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

#### 1 SEC. 5904. OBJECTIVES.

2 The objectives of the Review are as follows:

3 (1) Provide a tool to guide the United States
4 Government's decisionmaking and prioritization with
5 regard to funding multilateral entities and to provide
6 a methodological basis for allocating scarce budg7 etary resources to entities that advance relevant
8 United States foreign policy objectives.

9 (2) Incentivize improvements in the perform-10 ance of multilateral entities to achieve better out-11 comes on the ground in developing, fragile, and cri-12 sis-afflicted regions.

(3) Protect United States taxpayer investments
in foreign assistance by improving transparency with
regard to the funding of multilateral entities.

16 SEC. 5905. SCOPE.

17 The Review shall include in its assessment multilat-18 eral entities to which the United States Government con-19 tributes voluntary or assessed funding, whether cash or 20 in-kind.

#### 21 SEC. 5906. TRIENNIAL REPORT ON REVIEW.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than twenty-one months
after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every
three years thereafter, the United States Multilateral Aid
Review Task Force established under section 5907, in regular consultation with the Peer Review Group established

under section 8, shall submit to the appropriate congres sional committees a final report on the findings of the Re view. The Secretary of State shall publish the report on
 the internet website of the Department of State within
 seven days of submitting the report to the appropriate
 congressional committees.

7 (b) Methodology.—

8 (1) USE OF CRITERIA.—The Task Force shall
9 establish an analytical framework and assessment
10 scorecard for the Review using the criteria set forth
11 in subsection (c).

12 (2)CONSULTATION WITH CONGRESS.—Not 13 later than 120 days after the date of the enactment 14 of this Act, the Task Force shall submit the method-15 ology for the initial Review to the appropriate con-16 gressional committees. The Task Force may not pro-17 ceed with the Review until 30 days after submission 18 of the methodology to the appropriate congressional 19 committees, taking into consideration the views of 20 the Chairmen and Ranking Members of each of the 21 appropriate congressional committees. For each sub-22 sequent Review, the Task Force shall consult with 23 the Chairmen and Ranking Members of each of the 24 appropriate congressional committees regarding any 25 changes to the methodology.

1	(c) Assessment Criteria.—The assessment score-
2	card shall include the following criteria:
3	(1) Relationship of stated goals to ac-
4	TUAL RESULTS.—The extent to which the stated
5	mission, goals, and objectives of the entity have been
6	achieved during the review period, including—
7	(A) an identification of the stated mission,
8	goals, and objectives of each entity;
9	(B) an evaluation of the major projects
10	and programs selected for implementation by
11	the entity in comparison with the stated mis-
12	sion, goals, and objectives of the entity;
13	(C) an evaluation of whether the major
14	projects and programs selected by the entity
15	within the given review period were more likely
16	than not to further the achievement of the stat-
17	ed mission, goals, and objectives of the entity;
18	(D) an evaluation of the extent to which
19	the major selected projects and programs met
20	their own stated implementation timelines and
21	achieved declared results; and
22	(E) an evaluation of whether the entity op-
23	timizes resources to achieve the stated mission,
24	goals, and objectives of the entity.

6

7

5

(2) RESPONSIBLE MANAGEMENT.—The extent
 to which management of the entity follows best man agement practices, including—
 (A) an evaluation of the ratio of manage-

(A) an evaluation of the ratio of management and administrative expenses to program expenses, including an evaluation of entity resources spent on nonprogrammatic expenses;

8 (B) an evaluation of program expense 9 growth, including a comparison of the annual 10 growth of program expenses to the annual 11 growth of management and administrative ex-12 penses; and

13 (C) an evaluation of whether the entity has
14 established appropriate levels of senior manage15 ment compensation.

16 (3) ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY.— 17 The extent to which the policies and procedures of 18 the entity follow best practices of accountability and 19 transparency, taking into consideration credible re-20 porting regarding unauthorized conversion or diver-21 sion of entity resources, and including—

(A) an evaluation of whether the entity has
established and enforced appropriate auditing
procedures;

1	(B) an evaluation of whether the entity has
2	established and enforced appropriate rules to
3	reduce the risk of conflicts of interest among
4	the senior leadership of the entity;
5	(C) an evaluation of whether the entity has
6	established and enforced appropriate whistle-
7	blower policies;
8	(D) an evaluation of whether the entity
9	has established and maintained appropriate
10	records retention policies and guidelines;
11	(E) an evaluation of whether the entity has
12	established and maintained best practices with
13	respect to transparency and public disclosure;
14	and
15	(F) an evaluation of whether the entity has
16	established and maintained best practices with
17	respect to disclosure of the compensation of
18	senior leadership officials.
19	(4) ALIGNMENT WITH UNITED STATES FOREIGN
20	POLICY OBJECTIVES.—The extent to which the poli-
21	cies and practices of the entity align with relevant
22	United States foreign policy objectives, including—
23	(A) an evaluation of the entity's stated
24	mission, goals, and objectives in comparison to
25	relevant United States foreign policy objectives;

1	(B) an evaluation of whether continued
2	participation by the United States in the entity
3	contributes a net benefit towards achieving rel-
4	evant United States foreign policy objectives,
5	including the reasons for the conclusion; and
6	(C) an evaluation of any divergence be-
7	tween the actions of the entity and relevant
8	United States foreign policy objectives.
9	(5) Multilateral approach compared to
10	BILATERAL APPROACH.—The extent to which pur-
11	suing relevant United States foreign policy objectives
12	through a multilateral approach is effective and cost-
13	efficient compared to a bilateral approach, includ-
14	ing—
15	(A) an evaluation of whether relevant
16	United States foreign policy objectives are effec-
17	tively pursued through the entity, compared to
18	existing or potential bilateral approaches; and
19	(B) an evaluation of whether relevant
20	United States foreign policy objectives are pur-
21	sued on a cost-effective basis through the enti-
22	ty, compared to existing or potential bilateral
23	approaches.
24	(6) REDUNDANCIES AND OVERLAP.—The extent
25	to which the mission, goals, and objectives of the en-

tity overlap with the mission, goals, and objectives of
 other multilateral institutions to which United
 States Government entities contribute voluntary or
 assessed funding, whether cash or in-kind, includ ing—

- 6  $(\mathbf{A})$ identification of significant an redundancies or overlap with the mission, goals, 7 8 and objectives of other multilateral entities to 9 which United States Government entities con-10 tribute voluntary or assessed funding, whether 11 cash or in-kind; and
- (B) a comparison of the extent to which
  relevant United States foreign policy objectives
  are effectively pursued on a cost-effective basis
  through each of the overlapping entities.

16 SEC. 5907. UNITED STATES MULTILATERAL REVIEW TASK

17 **FORCE.** 

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The President shall establish
an interagency Multilateral Review Task Force (referred
to in this Act as the "Task Force") to review and assess
United States participation in multilateral entities identified in section 5905 and to develop and transmit to the
appropriate congressional committees the reports required
under section 5906.

(b) LEADERSHIP.—The Task Force shall be chaired
 by the Secretary of State. The Secretary may delegate his
 or her responsibilities under this Act to an appropriate
 senior Senate-confirmed official.

5 (c) MEMBERSHIP.—The President may appoint to 6 the interagency Task Force senior Senate-confirmed offi-7 cials from the Department of State, the Department of 8 the Treasury, the United States Agency for International 9 Development, the Office of Management and Budget, and 10 any other relevant executive branch department or agency.

(d) CONSULTATION.—In the preparation of each report under section 5906, including the initial review of
methodology, the Task Force shall consult regularly with
the Peer Review Group established under section 5908.
SEC. 5908. UNITED STATES MULTILATERAL AID REVIEW
PEER REVIEW GROUP.

17 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established the
18 United States Multilateral Aid Review Peer Review Group
19 (referred to in this Act as the "Peer Review Group").

20 (b) Membership.—

(1) COMPOSITION.—The Peer Review Group
shall be composed of 8 nongovernmental volunteer
members, of whom—

24 (A) two shall be appointed by the majority25 leader of the Senate;

1	(B) two shall be appointed by the minority
2	leader of the Senate;
3	(C) two shall be appointed by the Speaker
4	of the House of Representatives; and
5	(D) two shall be appointed by the minority
6	leader of the House of Representatives.
7	(2) Appointment criteria.—The members of
8	the Peer Review Group shall have appropriate exper-
9	tise and knowledge of the multilateral entities sub-
10	ject to the Review established by this title. In mak-
11	ing appointments to the Peer Review Group, poten-
12	tial conflicts of interest should be taken into ac-
13	count.
14	(3) DATE.—The initial appointments of the
15	members of the Peer Review Group shall be made
16	not later than 100 days after the date of the enact-
17	ment of this Act, and the terms of such appoint-
18	ments shall begin on that date.
19	(4) Chairman and vice chairman.—The Peer
20	Review Group shall select a Chairman and Vice
21	Chairman from among the members of the Peer Re-
22	view Group.
23	(c) EXPERT ANALYSIS.—The Peer Review Group
24	shall meet regularly with the Task Force, including re-
25	garding the initial review of methodology, to offer their

expertise of the funding and performance of multilateral
 entities.

- 3 (d) REVIEW OF REPORT.—
- 4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days be5 fore submitting each report required under section
  6 5906(a), the Task Force shall transmit a draft of
  7 the report to the Peer Review Group and the appro8 priate congressional committees.

9 (2) REVIEW.—The Peer Review Group shall re-10 view the draft report submitted under paragraph (1) 11 and provide to the Task Force and the appropriate 12 congressional committees not later than 90 days be-13 fore the submission of each report required under 14 section 5906(a) the following:

15 (A) An analysis of the conclusions of the16 report.

17 (B) An analysis of the established meth18 odologies used to reach conclusions in the re19 port.

20 (C) An analysis of the evidence used to21 reach conclusions in the report.

(D) Any additional comments to improve
the evaluations and analysis of the report.

24 (e) Period of Appointment; Vacancies.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Each member of the Peer
Review Group shall be appointed for a 6-year term
and may be reappointed under subsection $(b)(1)$ for
one additional term.
(2) VACANCIES.—Any vacancy in the Peer Re-
view Group—
(A) shall not affect the powers of the Peer
Review Group; and
(B) shall be filled in the same manner as
the original appointment.
(f) MEETINGS.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—The Peer Review Group
shall meet at the call of the Chairman.
(2) INITIAL MEETING.—Not later than 120
days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the
Peer Review Group shall hold its first meeting.
(3) QUORUM.—A majority of the members of
the Peer Group shall constitute a quorum, but a
lesser number of members may hold meetings.
SEC. 5909. TERMINATION.
The authorities and requirements provided under this
title shall terminate 11 years after the date of the enact-
ment of this Act.