AMENDMENT TO RULES COMMITTEE PRINT 115-**70**

OFFERED BY MR. BEYER OF VIRGINIA

At the end of title VIII, add the following new section:

| 1 | SEC. 8 USE OF LOWEST PRICE TECHNICALLY ACCEPT- |
|----|--|
| 2 | ABLE SOURCE SELECTION PROCESS. |
| 3 | (a) Statement of Policy.—It shall be the policy |
| 4 | of the United States Government to avoid using lowest |
| 5 | price technically acceptable source selection criteria in cir- |
| 6 | cumstances that would deny the Government the benefits |
| 7 | of cost and technical tradeoffs in the source selection proc- |
| 8 | ess. |
| 9 | (b) Revision of Federal Acquisition Regula- |
| 10 | TION.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the en- |
| 11 | actment of this Act, the Federal Acquisition Regulation |
| 12 | shall be revised to require that, for solicitations issued on |
| 13 | or after the date that is 120 days after the date of the |
| 14 | enactment of this Act, lowest price technically acceptable |
| 15 | source selection criteria are used only in situations in |
| 16 | which— |
| 17 | (1) an executive agency is able to comprehen- |
| 18 | sively and clearly describe the minimum require- |

| 1 | ments expressed in terms of performance objectives, |
|----|--|
| 2 | measures, and standards that will be used to deter- |
| 3 | mine acceptability of offers; |
| 4 | (2) the executive agency would realize no, or |
| 5 | minimal, value from a contract proposal exceeding |
| 6 | the minimum technical or performance requirements |
| 7 | set forth in the request for proposal; |
| 8 | (3) the proposed technical approaches will re- |
| 9 | quire no, or minimal, subjective judgment by the |
| 10 | source selection authority as to the desirability of |
| 11 | one offeror's proposal versus a competing proposal; |
| 12 | (4) the source selection authority has a high de- |
| 13 | gree of confidence that a review of technical pro- |
| 14 | posals of offerors other than the lowest bidder would |
| 15 | not result in the identification of factors that could |
| 16 | provide value or benefit to the executive agency; |
| 17 | (5) the contracting officer has included a jus- |
| 18 | tification for the use of a lowest price technically ac- |
| 19 | ceptable evaluation methodology in the contract file; |
| 20 | and |
| 21 | (6) the executive agency has determined that |
| 22 | the lowest price reflects full life-cycle costs, including |
| 23 | for operations and support. |
| 24 | (e) Avoidance of Use of Lowest Price Tech- |
| 25 | NICALLY ACCEPTABLE SOURCE SELECTION CRITERIA IN |

| 1 | CERTAIN PROCUREMENTS.—To the maximum extent |
|----|---|
| 2 | practicable, the use of lowest price technically acceptable |
| 3 | source selection criteria shall be avoided in the case of a |
| 4 | procurement that is predominately for the acquisition of— |
| 5 | (1) information technology services, |
| 6 | cybersecurity services, systems engineering and tech- |
| 7 | nical assistance services, advanced electronic testing, |
| 8 | audit or audit readiness services, or other knowl- |
| 9 | edge-based professional services; |
| 10 | (2) personal protective equipment; or |
| 11 | (3) knowledge-based training or logistics serv- |
| 12 | ices in contingency operations or other operations |
| 13 | outside the United States, including in Afghanistan |
| 14 | or Iraq. |
| 15 | (d) Reporting.—Not later than one year after the |
| 16 | date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter |
| 17 | for three years, the Comptroller General of the United |
| 18 | States shall submit to the appropriate congressional com- |
| 19 | mittees a report on the number of instances in which low- |
| 20 | est price technically acceptable source selection criteria is |
| 21 | used for a contract exceeding \$2,000,000, including an ex- |
| 22 | planation of how the situations listed in subsection (b) |
| 23 | were considered in making a determination to use lowest |
| 24 | price technically acceptable source selection criteria. |
| 25 | (e) Definitions.—In this section: |

| (1) Executive agency.—The term "executive |
|--|
| agency" has the meaning given that term in section |
| 102 of title 40, United States Code, except that the |
| term does not include the Department of Defense. |
| (2) Contingency operation.—The term |
| "contingency operation" has the meaning given that |
| term in section 101 of title 10, United States Code. |
| (3) Appropriate congressional commit- |
| TEES.—The term "appropriate congressional com- |
| mittees" means the Committee on Oversight and |
| Government Reform of the House of Representatives |
| and the Committee on Homeland Security and Gov- |
| ernmental Affairs of the Senate. |
| |

